

COURSE DESCRIPTION MNO2705 LEADERSHIP AND DECISION MAKING UNDER UNCERTAINTY Semester 2, 2023/2024

Instructors:

Dan M^CALLISTER Alexander Eng ONG Wei Jee WANG Ningxin Sam Yam mcallister@nus.edu.sg aeng@nus.edu.sg weijee@nus.edu.sg nwang@nus.edu.sg bizykc@nus.edu.sg

1. Overview

The decisions you make every day will shape your life. In the workplace, the decisions you make will impact outcomes for you, your team, and cumulatively affect the trajectory of your career. This course will help you navigate the pathways of decision making in organizations. We will adopt an evidence-based approach, tapping several streams of research – including social psychology, behavioural economics, and management – to identify key anchors for effective decision making. These conceptual tools will empower you to make good decisions in an uncertain world, to influence, and to lead.

This course addresses the foundations for decision making in modern organizations, where the requirements of speed, global reach, complexity and change that our organizations face also create conditions for unsafe and unethical business practices to persist. Reports of insider trading, graft and cronyism, unsafe products, unfair employment practices and environmental waste are commonplace in the media. Thus, our concern is with anchors for morally decent or ethical decision making.

2. Course Objectives

The key objectives of this course are:

- Better understand how individual and collective decisions are made in organizations.
- Develop an understanding of the effects of cognitive biases, heuristics, emotions and social dynamics on decision processes and outcomes.
- Be able to suggest practical ways for leaders to become more effective in making decisions and implementing them.

3. Course Readings

Assigned readings for each class session are listed in Section 7 of this syllabus. They are available through the online NUS library portal, as well as through CANVAS.

Recommended reading: Kahneman, D. (2013). *Thinking, Fast and Slow*. New York: Farrar, Straus & Giroux.

4. Modes of Teaching and Learning

The seminar format of this course gives us ample time for discussion and reflection. In addition to materials presented by the instructor, class activities involve small group dialogue, case discussion, and experiential exercises. You will also learn through your own study of assigned readings and completion of assignments.

The success of this course relies heavily on creating a safe and supportive learning environment for everyone. Your active participation and open sharing is essential, as is the participation of your peers. Therefore, listen carefully to peers and share your views with them in a civil and respectful manner. We strive for a culture that maximizes collective learning by stimulating participation, creativity, and spontaneity. Be open and use your experiences in class and out of class for learning. Be appreciative, active, and questioning in order to maximize your own and others' learning.

Learner responsibilities

To benefit most from each class session, you should:

- Prepare for class by completing assigned readings and exercises.
- Participate actively in class.
- Dive deep: question, think critically, be open to new perspectives, and learn from others.

Group exercises are central to the learning process—take your responsibility to your group seriously by being prepared for the exercise and playing your part.

Outside of classes, our main modes of class communication are through CANVAS and e-mail. Check your NUS mailbox and CANVAS regularly for announcements, updates, and uploaded materials.

Role of the instructor

Instructors play a facilitating role by structuring processes, promoting and guiding discussion, and giving feedback. They will spend little time providing anything that you can read on your own. Class time is reserved for working with ideas, exchanging perspectives, and new learning.

5. Evaluation

Overall course grades are based on evaluations of both individual and collaborative work. Final grades reflect relative performance among peers.

Individual-based coursework

 Class participation Decision Readiness Assessment 	20% 30%
Collaborative coursework (5-7 people)	
3. Decision Challenges in Sustainability Project	50%

3. Decision Challenges in Sustainability Project	5
Sustainability Warmup (5%)	
Team Inquiry Audit (5%)	
Sustainability Decisions Deep Dive (40%)	

6.1 Class Participation (Individual, 20%)

Participate actively in class sessions. Ask thoughtful questions, make constructive comments, challenge assumptions, bring in good examples from current events and life experience, and build on others' ideas.

Assessments of class participation will reflect the quality and consistency of your engagement and contribution to learning throughout the semester. The following criteria will be used to:

Assessment	Behavior Anchors
Need Improvement	 Absent Does not respond or participate in discussions and activities Demonstrates passive or very infrequent involvement
Fair	 Prepares for seminar sessions—understands the topic but does not try to answer or elaborate when called upon Occasionally comments and participates in discussions and activities Demonstrates a fair level of involvement
Good	 Prepares well for seminar sessions—provides thoughtful comments with relevant points Frequently participates in discussions and activities Demonstrates active and consistent involvement
Excellent	 Prepares fully for seminars: asks thoughtful questions, offers insightful perspectives, experiences, or reflections Always participates in discussions and activities Contributes in a way that enhances the learning of all who are present

6.2. Decision-Making Readiness Assessment, Wednesday, 27 March, 7-9 pm (Individual, 30%)

The Decision-Making Readiness Assessment provides an opportunity for you to demonstrate your understanding of and ability to work with course concepts and frameworks. It covers the subject-matter from class and assigned readings.

6.3. Sustainability Warmup (Team, 5%, Due Week 4)

This exercise involves researching a specific decision bias (one bias per team) and presenting an overview of it to the class. The overview will address the nature of this bias, how it can impair decision making, steps to contain it, and its implications for sustainability. Successful completion of this exercise will require some good research, creativity, and preparation as a team. Share your learning in a way that brings life to the concept and its effects. Be creative, be bold, and have fun with the topic.

Additional Information:

- Team presentations will be in Week 4 of the semester.
- Presentations will be 8 minutes in length.
- All team members should be involved.
- Criteria for assessment: insight (50%), creativity/originality (25%) and delivery (25%)

6.3. Team Inquiry Audit (Team, 5%, Due Week 7)

This exercise provides an opportunity for team members to independently reflect on teamwork processes that affect decision-making, and for the team draw on these insights to improve its effectiveness as a decision-making body. In preparation to complete this exercise, please review the article by Garvin and Roberto (2001) that is assigned for Week 6.

Individual Reflection: Each team member should prepare a personal statement concerning the team's approach to decision making and their personal role in team decision processes. Identify one or two key strengths of the team's deliberative approach, and one or two ways in which the team's decision processes might be improved. Be specific and concrete, providing examples to support the points you

make. Prepare this report with the understanding that you will be sharing your insights with the full team. This statement should not exceed one (1) A4 page or 400 words.

Team Deliberation: Each team will set aside time for a face-to-face team meeting during which members can share their reflections with team members. Further discussion can be focused on how the team can draw upon these insights and identify opportunities for improvement.

Consensus Statement: Each team should provide a consensus statement on the team's deliberation—how individual reflections were shared and discussed, how differing perspectives were addressed, and what changes have been made (if any) to the team's approach to decisions. Use vital signs identified by Garvin and Roberto (2001) to appraise the quality of this team consensus. This statement should not exceed two (2) A4 pages or 600 words.

Document for Submission: Each team should submit a single PDF document online, through CANVAS, before class, Week 7. The document will consists of the team's consensus statement, together with the individual reflection reports from each team member. My criteria for evaluating written and presented work are outlined in Section 7 of this document.

6.4. Sustainability Decisions Deep Dive (Team, 40%, Due Week 11)

For this project, your team will be studying a sustainability-related decision challenge that an organization faces. The organization will be here in Singapore, the sustainability challenge can be concerned with one or more aspects of sustainability (economic, environmental, or human), and the decision may be one that concerns the organization's operations and/or products. The choice of organization and sustainability issue will be determined by the team. The decision challenge should be one that:

- An organization in Singapore currently faces, or has faced within the last five (5) years;
- Is concerned with sustainability
- Can be studied through original research methods (e.g., observation, interviews, data collection/sanalysis); and
- You believe is important and provides an opportunity for learning

As a team, you will be drawing on your learning in this course to 1) define the decision challenge clearly, 2) understand the decision situation by thoroughly analyzing the factors shaping it, 3) identify the strengths and weaknesses of the organization's decision approach, and 4) draw insights from your study to help us better understand the foundations for effective decision making.

Additional Information:

- Written Project Proposals: Your team will submit an agreed upon proposal document that delineates the project scope and highlights the methods/resources you will use in your work. Your team will meet with the instructor to discuss the project's focus and direction. Final team proposals should be submitted through CANVAS by the beginning of class, Week 6.
- **Project Presentations**: Team presentations will be scheduled for Weeks 11 and 12. You will have 15 minutes of presentation time, and there will be 10 minutes for Q&A. Presentation materials (e.g., slides, handouts) for ALL teams will be submitted via CANVAS one day before our Week 11 class session.
- Assessment: Team presentations (35%) are evaluated on five equally weighted criteria: understanding of the decision challenge, clarity and depth of the analyses, practical wisdom drawn from the study, overall professionalism of the presentation, and effectiveness in engaging the audience. Instructors will also evaluate team effectiveness over the course of the semester (e.g., Project Proposal, and engagement in instructor-team meetings) (5%).

7. Criteria for Evaluating Written and Presented Work

Communication with managers and professionals must be clear, precise, and informative. They present their thoughts with logic and supporting rationale. We look for similar elements in your work:

- **Clarity:** Make sure that written and presented work is organized and easy to follow. Convey your main points to readers and in a logical manner. Do not gloss over the foundations—spell words correctly and adhere to rules for grammar and usage.
- **Support/Justification:** Support your assertions with evidence from relevant sources (e.g., text, reading, interviews). Be sure to acknowledge sources.
- **Specificity:** Be specific rather than vague. You will have greater difficulty justifying general observations/statements. Also, accurate use of technical terminology is critical. It is better to use an everyday word you understand than to use a nice-sounding technical term incorrectly.
- **Wisdom:** Think through the implications of your recommendations (well beyond the obvious). Acknowledge and address potential unintended consequences and inherent trade-offs.
- **Originality:** The quality of *your* ideas is important. Show creative, independent thinking as much as possible. Other things being equal, we reward creativity and thinking "outside-the-box."
- Academic Integrity: Signing your name to an assignment establishes the work as your own. This is the case for both individual and teamwork. Academic integrity and honesty are essential for the pursuit and acquisition of knowledge. The University and School expect every student to uphold these values at all times. Academic dishonesty is any misrepresentation intended to deceive, failure to acknowledge a source, falsification of information, inaccuracy of statements, cheating on examinations/tests, or inappropriate use of resources.

Plagiarism is 'the practice of taking someone else's work or ideas and passing them off as one's own' (The New Oxford Dictionary of English). The University and School do not condone plagiarism. You have the obligation to make clear to the assessor which work is your own, and which is the work of others. Otherwise, your assessor can assume that everything presented for assessment is entirely your own work. This is a minimum standard. In case of any doubts, consult your instructor.

Additional guidance is available at:

http://www.nus.edu.sg/registrar/administrative-policies/acceptancerecord.html#NUSCodeofStudentConduct

Online Module on Plagiarism: <u>http://emodule.nus.edu.sg/ac/</u>

NUS Policy on AI

The following are always improper uses of AI tools:

- Generating an output and presenting it as your own work or idea.
- Generating an output, paraphrasing it, and then presenting the output as your own work or idea.
- Processing an original source not created by yourself to plagiarize it (e.g., using an AI paraphrasing tool to disguise someone else's original work, or even the output of an AI tool, and then presenting the final output as your own work or idea).

All of the above violate NUS policies on academic honesty and anyone found to have done any of them will be dealt with accordingly. Keep in mind that even though AI tools are not authors and thus cannot be harmed by someone stealing an idea from them, it is still wrong to represent yourself as having produced something when you did not do so.

If you completed any work with the aid of an AI tool, assuming a setting in which the instructor gave permission for such tools to be used, you should always acknowledge the use. Using the outputs of an AI tool without proper acknowledgement is equivalent to lifting or paraphrasing a paragraph from a source without citation and attracts the same sanctions.

Week 1 15-19 Jan	Leadership, Uncertainty and Decision Making
Week 2 22-26 Jan	Ethical Foundations for Decisions
Week 3 29 Jan-2 Feb	Ethical Decision Making in Action
Week 4 5-9 Feb	Thinking Fast and Slow: Decision Biases and Heuristics
Week 5 12-16 Feb	Decision Process Practicum: Team meetings with Instructor 12 February – Chinese New Year
Week 6 19-23 Feb	Group Decisions: Collaborating
26 Feb- 1 Mar	No Class: Recess Week
Week 7 4-9 Mar	Negotiated Decisions: Coopetition
Week 8 11-15 Mar	Choice Architecture and Design Thinking
Week 9 18-22 Mar	Decision Making in a Complex World
Week 10 25-29 Mar	Decision Readiness Assessment (Wednesday, 27 March, 7-9 pm)
Week 11/12 1-5 Apr 8-12 Apr	Team Presentations
Week 13 15-19 Apr	Final Session: Decision Making in Work and Life

8. Schedule of Topics